

Volume 4, No. 2 February, 2023 P-ISSN 2722-7782 | E-ISSN 2722-5356 DOI: https://doi.org/10.46799/jsa.v4i2.869

# THE INFLUENCE OF TRANSFORMATIONAL LEADERSHIP STYLE, COMMUNICATIONAND WORK ENVIRONMENT ON EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE AT PT. ADIRA DYNAMICS MULTI FINANCE IN JAKARTA

Afaf Nahlati Shovi, Ahmad Cik, Adam Nurkholik, Kumba Digdowiseiso

Management Study Program faculty of Economics and Business University National Jakarta, Indonesia Email: afafnahlati@gmail.com, ahmad.cik@civitas.unas.ac.id, adam.nurkholik@civitas.unas.ac.id, kumba.digdo@civitas.unas.ac.id

## Abstract:

The purpose of this study is to analyze is there is an influence of Transformational Leadership Style, Communications, and Work Environment on Employee Performance. The data used in this research is using primary data. Data collection techniques were carried out using questionnaires obtained by distributing questionnaires via google form to 56 employees of PT. Adira Dinamika Multi Financein Jakarta. The data analysis technique used in this research is multiple linearregression analysis, which is processed through the SPSS version 25 program. The data analysis technique used is a quantitative method where the results are expressed by numbers. Based on research that has been done shows that Transformational LeadershipStyle has a positive and significant effect on employee performance, Communication has a negative and insignificant effect on employee performance, Work Environment has a positive and significant effect on employee.

**Keywords:** Transformational Leadership Style, Communications, Work Environment, Employees Performance

#### INTRODUCTION

Source Power Man is key from development Company. Wrong One factor most important in a organization Good institutions and companies. Human Resources can be divided into 2sections namely: Micro and Macro. Micro HR are individuals who workand become member something company which normal called as employee, employees, workers, workers and so on. Meanwhile Macro are residents who have entered the working age, whether already Work or those who are not yet working.

Source Power man have position which very important considering that organizational performance is greatly influenced by the quality of its human resources. To achieve good performance, employees are needed who have high work capacity to anticipate opportunities and challenges in an increasingly competitive business environment in achieving company goals (Ratnasari, 2016).

According to Modiani (2012:88) Transformational Leadership Style is the ability to inspire and motivate followers to achieve greater results than originally planned and for internal rewards. Transformational leadership refers to leaders who succeed in moving employees beyond direct self-interest through the influence of ideals (charisma), inspiration, intellectual stimulation, or individual consideration. Seeing transformational leadership that is able to be implemented well by leaders in the company will provide motivation for employees, thereby achieving satisfaction at work, all of this will have a positive impact on employee performance in taking responsibility for the interests of the company, this will also have a good impact on reducing the turnover rate of employees who will feel satisfied and comfortable working for the company

According to Wiradji (2014:28) Transformational Leadership is a leadership process where the leader develops his commitment to following various organizational values and vision. According to Mootalu (2019) also states that the transformational leadership style is a leader Which give his attention to the problems faced by his followers with method give encouragement and encouragement to achieve the goal. According to Come on in Emron Edison et al (2016, p.98) Style Transformational leadership is where employees feel trust, admiration and motivation in carrying out organizational performance employee.

Communication is process Where 2 person or a number of personFor do interaction or exchange information One with information other Which Finally produce understanding Which The same between organization other. In life man Certain need person other, as well as need group or somebody Which most near with him that isFamily or Friends to share, exchange think and interact. Communication is a very important role in which humans play interact with other humans. Developments in communication Already the more advanced, relatively And spread at each layer public.

Therefore, the importance of procedures for internal communication organize so that can walk with Good in accordance vision in company.Lack of communication and an attitude of indifference within the organization can result not fluent in activities organization. According to IM Ginting, Bangun, Munthe, & Sihombing, 2019: 36) Communication is process For convey something information through someone or an intermediary from 1 party to another party in organize

Environment Work is become Wrong One matter For influence productivity Spirit Work on employee. Withoutenvironment Which Good employee will felt easy bored And No enthusiastic about carrying out work activities in a company. Therefore very important in the company if employees can socialize in the work environment the.

The work environment is a group that there are several facilities in a person to achieve

company goals in accordance with vision and mission of the company. (Sedermayanti, 2013:23). Atmosphere or condition around location of work.

Environment Work impact on ability individual For Work with safe, competent And in accordance with target performance operational. An appropriate work environment can support implementation Work so that employee own Spirit Work And increase performance employee, whereas no appropriate environment Work dapt create discomfort for employees in carrying out their dutieshis task.

The work environment is everything that can be influenced directly or indirectly in organizations or companies which will have a good or bad impact on employee performance. According to Sri Widodo (2016:95) The work environment is a task where Employees can carry out their daily tasks with certainty company Which later will required in company anywhere.

PT. Adira Dinamika Multi Finance in Jakarta is one of them companies engaged in vehicle financing (leasing) and claimed to be a leading company amidst very tight competition between leasing companies. The success of this company is certainly related with the human resources that the company has so far, PT. Adira Dynamics Multi Finance. Already spread approached all over region Indonesia includingin Jakarta. PT. Adira Dinamika Multi Finance. as a representative office with vehicle segmentation, of course you must have employees with good performance in order to maintain and increase success company.

No	Indicator	Target	AchievementPerformanc			
					е	
	Performance		2018	2019	2020	
1.	Quality Work	100%	75%	78%	73%	
2.	Quantity Work	100%	75%	78%	73%	
3.	Decree Time	100%	73%	75%	71%	
4.	Not quite enou	Igh100%	78%	80%	75%	
	Answer					
	Average		73.75%	77.75%	73%	

Source: Management Data PT. Adira Dynamics Multi Finance in Jakarta West

From table 1 can seen that performance PT. Adira Dynamics MultiFinance in Jakarta Still there are stains in year 2020, because not yet reach target Which Already set And Not yet exists study about performance employee in environment PT. Adira Dynamics Multi Finance so need done study about influence "Style Leadership Transformational, Communication, and Work Environment on Performance Employee on PT Adira Dynamics Multi Finance in Jakarta

Performance employee important For success on failure organization. Matter This influenced by a number factor, Which come from inAnd outside organization like leadership, income, chance promotion, environment Work, connection employee-employer, commitment leadership, employee tardiness, type of organization, etc (Ngunyen, 2015).

Based on the background description, the formulation of this research problem includes three main questions, namely: 1) Does the Transformational Leadership Style influence Employee Performance at PT. Adira Dinamika Multi Finance? 2) Does communication affect employee performance at PT. Adira Dinamika Multi Finance? and 3) Does the work environment influence employee performance at PT. Adira Dinamika Multi

Finance? The purpose of this research is to investigate the impact of Transformational Leadership Style, Communication and Work Environment on Employee Performance in the company. Theoretically, this research is expected to contribute knowledge in improving employee performance through understanding Transformational Leadership Style, Communication and the Work Environment. Meanwhile, practically, this research can be a reference for PT. Adira Dinamika Multi Finance to develop strategies to improve employee performance, and for researchers and students at the National University of Jakarta as additional insight and useful reference material in advanced studies, especially for students of the Economic Education Study Program.

## METHOD

The object of this research is employee performance which is influenced by the transformational leadership style, communication and work environment at PT. Adira Dinamika Multi Finance. Data collection was carried out by distributing questionnaires to 56 employees of the company. Primary data was obtained from a questionnaire which highlighted the variables Transformational Leadership Style, Communication, Work Environment and Employee Performance. Furthermore, the analytical methods used include descriptive analysis, multiple linear regression, validity test, reliability test, classical assumption test (normality, multicollinearity, autocorrelation, heteroscedasticity), simultaneous test (F test), coefficient of determination test (R2), and hypothesis test partial (t test). Hypothesis testing criteria involve a significance level of 0.05, with acceptance or rejection of the hypothesis based on a comparison of the test results with the specified table values.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# **Results Complete Estimate Study**

The results of descriptive statistical tests are to determine the value average total mean and total grand mean for each variable. Variable independent that is Style Leadership Transformational (X 1), Communication (X 2), And EnvironmentWork (X 3), as well as the dependent variable Employee Performance (Y) Which each each variable different the question. AnalysisData on these variables was obtained from the results of the questionnaire which has been distributed, for more details can be seen as following:

## A. Analysis Descriptive Style Transformational Leadership (X 1)

The average value of each indicator in the Leadership Style variable Transformational (X 1) can seen as following :

	Table 2. Average mean And Total mean style Leadership Transformational (X 1)				
Styl	e Leadership Transformational	Mean			
1.	Leader in place I Work analyze problemin a way thorough	4.61			
2.	Manage planning in a way appropriate time And appropriate budget.	4.55			
3.	Communication between superior, subordinate, And colleague coworkersvery open and fun.	4.55			
4.	The leadership where I work is capable communicate with subordinate in a way clear and effective.	4.50			
5.	The boss where I work has courage For respond with fast And	4.63			

Table 2. Average Mean And Total Mean Style Leadership Transformational (X 1 )

	appropriate	
	on problem And chance Which unexpected	
6.	Remain calm and provide solutions even though they are deep	4.45
	situation critical or not pleasant	
7.	The management where I work is very appreciative idea	4.62
	subordinate	
8.	Be respectful, listen to complaints, share information And Can	4.60
	confess excess person other.	
9.	The leadership where I work always emphasizes work with focus	4.46
	on objective And results	
10.	Capable behave firm For determine decision Which complex or	4.39
	confusing.	
	Average Total Mean Style Variables	45.39
	Leadership Transformational (X1)	
	Source: Data processed from results questionnaire	

Source: Data processed from results questionnaire

## B. Average Mean and Total Mean Leadership StyleTransformational (X1)

Based on table 2 obtained results average total mean Style Leadership Transformational (X1) in a way whole 45.39. Which It means Part respondents state agree. Average mark mean highest there is on indicator courage with mark 4.63. Matter This become proof that in a way general employee PT Adira Dynamics Multi Finane state that indicator facilityinfluential. And mark mean Lowest there is on firmness indicator with mark 4.39.

## C. Communication Descriptive Analysis (X2)

Mark flat – flat every indicator in variables Communication (X  $_{\rm 2}$  ) can seen as following:

	Table 3. Average Mean And Total Mean Communication (X 2 )				
Con	communication Mean				
1.	I understand with Good What Which be delivered by	4.64			
	leadership to I.				
2.	I ask return about task Whichgiven by the leadership.	4.48			
3.	I accept with Good tasks Whichgiven by the leadership.	4.61			
4.	I feel work Which given tooheavy.	3.84			
5	I Once reject Wrong one two task Whichgiven by the	3.59			
	leadership I.				
6	I always showing attitude Which Good whenspeak with	4.57			
	leadership.				
7	I do task assignment with forced.	3.36			
8.	I always show flavor respect todream I	4.64			
9.	I always guard connection Good withleader I	4.66			
10.	I always guard connection Good withcolleague I	4.62			
	Average Total Mean Variables Communication (X2)	43.02			

Table 3, Average Mean	And Total Mean (	Communication ()	(2)

Source: Data processed from results questionnaire

## D. Average Mean And Total Mean Communication (X 2)

Based on table 3 obtained results average total meanCommunication (X2) overall 43.02. Which means Partrespondents state agree. Average mark mean highestthere is on indicator connection Which more Good with mark 4.66. This is proof that in general employees of PT. Adira Dynamics Multi Finance state that indicator connection Which more Good influential. And mark mean Lowest there is on the indicator influence on attitude with value 3.36.

# E. Descriptive Analysis of the Work Environment (X3)

Mark flat – flat every indicator in variables EnvironmentWork (X 3 ) can seen as following :

Table 4. Average Mean And Total Mean Environment Work (X 3 )					
Env	Environment Work Mean				
1.	1. Lighting/light in place I Work give hand I4.70				
2.	I feel comfortable with temperature temperature air in	4.45			
	place Work I				
3.	I can adapt with humidity air in	4.41			
	place I Work				
4.	Circulation air in my place Work flow with	4.59			
_	Good				
5.	Place Work I Far from noise	4.21			
	Source: Data processed from results questionnai	·0			

Source: Data processed from results questionnaire

## F. Average Mean and Total Mean Work Environment (X3)

Based on table 4 obtained results average total mean Environment (X3) overall 43.82. Which means Partrespondents state agree. Average mark mean highest there is on indicator illumination/light with mark 4.70.

Matter This become proof that in a way general employee PT. AdiraDynamics Multi Finance state that indicator lighting/light has an effect. And the lowest mean value is found on indicator noise with a value of 4.21.

## G. Descriptive Analysis of Employee Performance (Y)

Mark flat – flat every indicator in variables Performance Employee (Y) can seen as following :

	Table 5. Average Mean and Total Mean Performance Employee (Y)				
Per	Performance Employee Mean				
1.	Quality Work I Far better from employees other.	4.07			
2.	Quantity Work I exceeds average employee other.	4.32			
3.	Efficiency time I exceed average employee other.	4.11			
4.	Ability I exceeded standards Which set.	4.36			
5.	I try with more hard than Which other	4.50			
6.	I have commitment And responsibility in work.	4.59			
7.	Capable choose And see problem from corner	4.54			
	look Which different with employees who other				
8.	Own knowledge Which wide Which can help	4.41			
	employee other in taking decision .				
	Average Total Mean Performance Employee (X1)	34.89			

Syntax Admiration, Vol. 4, No. 2, February 2023	

Source: Data processed from results questionnaire

# H. Average Mean and Total Mean Employee Performance (Y)

Based on table 5 obtained results average total meanPerformance Employee (Y) in a way whole 34.89. Which It meansPart respondents state agree. Average mark mean highest there is on indicator decision time with mark 4.59. This is proof that in general employees of PT. Adira Dynamics Multi Finance state that indicator decision time influential. And mark mean Lowest there is on quality indicators Work with mark 4.07.

- 1) Test Instrument
  - a. Test Validity

Test validity used For test accuracy, tool gauge can reveal whether a questionnaire is valid or not . Validity test is calculated with compare mark r count (correlated item-total correlation) with r table, If r count > r table (on tariff significant 0.05) so statementis declared valid. All questions consist of 38 items from 10 item For Style Leadership transformational, 10 item ForCommunication, 10 item For Environment Work, And 8 item For Employee performance.

This is determined by using a <sub>calculated r</sub> of 5%, where n = 56, then obtained r <sub>table</sub> (0.05) = 0.2632 and the overall statement is used in this research are r <sub>count</sub> >r <sub>table</sub>

	Table	o. Results I	est valiuit	st validity		
Variable	Question	r Count	r Table	Information		
	Question 1	0.533	0.2632	Valid Valid Valid Valid		
	Question 2	0.748	0.2632	Valid Valid Valid Valid		
	Question 3	0.723	0.2632	Valid		
	Question 4	0.787	0.2632			
	Question 5	0.815	0.2632			
Style Dreamer	nanQuestion 6	0.865	0.2632			
Transformation	ionalQuestion 7	0.663	0.2632			
(X 1 )	Question 8	0.727	0.2632			
	Question 9	0.884	0.2632			
	Question 10	0.851	0.2632			
	Question 1	0.530	0.2632	Valid Valid Valid Valid		
	Question 2	0.593	0.2632	Valid Valid Valid Valid		
Communication	(X 2 )Question 3	0.567	0.2632	Valid		
	Question 4	0.202	0.2632			
	Question 5	0.146	0.2632			
	Question 6	0.474	0.2632			
	Question 7	0.241	0.2632			
	Question 8	0.669	0.2632			
	Question 9	0.548	0.2632			
	Question 10	0.573	0.2632			

Table 6. Results Test Validity

Afaf Nahlati Shovi	, Ahmad Cik,	Adam Nurkholik,	Kumba Digdowiseiso
--------------------	--------------	-----------------	--------------------

	Question 1	0.568	0.2632	Valid Valid Valid
Environment	WorkQuestion 2	0.405	0.2632	Valid
(X 3 )	Question 3	0.427	0.2632	Valid Valid Valid Valid
	Question 4	0.400	0.2632	Valid
	Question 5	0.421	0.2632	Valid
	Question 6	0.685	0.2632	
	Question 7	0.419	0.2632	
	Question 8	0.562	0.2632	
	Question 9	0.517	0.2632	
	Question 10	0.554	0.2632	
	Question 1	0.478	0.2632	Valid Valid Valid Valid
	Question 2	0.257	0.2632	Valid Valid Valid
	Question 3	0.596	0.2632	Valid
Performance	Question 4	0.500	0.2632	
Employee(Y)	Question 5	0.401	0.2632	
	Question 6	0.412	0.2632	
	Question 7	0.586	0.2632	
	Question 8	0.438	0.2632	

Source: Primary data SPSS 22 Item-Total Output Statistics . Processed 2021

From calculations using SPSS 22 test data against 56respondents stated that all questions 1-38 were for variables Transformational Leadership Style (XI), Communication (X2), Environment Work (X3), And Performance Employee (Y) stated valid. Matter This seen from results calculation with tableon, that r count > r table (0.2632).

b. Test Reliability

Reliability test is used to find out whether the measuring instrument will get measurement Which appropriate consistent If measurement be repeated Return. Method Which used in This research is *Cronbach Alpha*. The reliability test is continuation of the validity test, where the question items are entered testing is items or question Which valid just. For determine whether the instrument is reliable or not to use Limitation 0.6. Results the test is as following:

Table 7. Results Test Reliability						
Variable Cronbach Alpha Limitation Decision						
Style Leadersh	ip0.929	0.6	Reliable			
Transformational (X1)						
Communication X2)	0.720	0.6	Reliable			
Environment Work (X3)	0.840	0.6	Reliable			
Employee Performanc (Y)	e0.896	0.6	Reliable			

Source: Data Primary SPSS 23 Output Reability Statistics . Processed 2021

From table 7 above it can be seen that the *Cronbach Alpha value* for fourth variables the on 0.6. Because values the more big of 0.6, then the value of the measuring instrument is reliable or meets the requirements reliability.

2) Test Assumption Classic

a. Test Normality

One Simple Kolmogorov-Sminorv test or normality test used to determine the distribution of data, whether it follows normal, *passion*, or *uniform distribution*. In this case for know is variable free And variable bound both of themdistribute normal or No. Data distribution said normalif the level of significant value is > 0.05 and vice versa If the significance is <0.05, it is said to be abnormal. The following served table result of test normality as following:

Table 8. Results Test Normality							
			Unstandard	ized			
_			Residual				
Ν			56				
Normal		Mean	,0000000	,			
Paramet	ers a, k	)					
		Std. Deviation	3.02367252				
Most	Extre	emeAbsolute	,115				
Differend	ces						
		Positive	,115				
		Negative	-,107				
Test Stat	istics		,115				
Asymp. tailed)	Sig.	(2-	,063 c				
	Dat	a source SPSS pro	cessing				

Based on the normality test results refer to table 8 It can be seen that the value of Asymp. Sig (2- Tailed) is 0.115more big than 0.05 (0.115 > 0.05) so can concluded that data on variable study on distribute normal.

b. Test Multicollinearity

The multicollinearity test is used to determine whether there is or whether there is a deviation from the classical assumption of multicollinearity, i.e exists connection linear or mark *variance inflation factors (VIF)*, if the *Tolerance value* is > 0.10 or VIF < 10, then it can be saidThere is no multicollinearity in the processed model. For more he explained writer explained in the table 9 as follows:

	Table 9. Results Test Multicollinearity									
1.	Models nstandardiz Coefficients			Standardize d Coefficients t		Sig.	Colline	arity Statistics		
		В	Std. Error	Beta	_		Tolerar	nce VIF		
1	(Constant)	-,911	3,753		-,243	,809				
	Leadership Sty	le								
	Transformational	,009	,101	,011	,089	,929	,437	2,290		
	Communication	,428	,106	,462	4,026	,000,	,522,	1,917		

Environment Work ,388	,118 ,413	3,275 ,002	,434	2,307				
Source : Data Processed SPSS								

Based on results test multicollinearity refers on table 9 obtained VIF For variable Style Leadership Transformational (X1) as big as 2,290. Communication (X2) 1,917. Environment Work (X3) 2.07. Whereas mark Tolerance Style Leadership Transformational (X1) as big as 0.437. Communication (X2) 0.522. Environment Work (X3) as big as 0.434. From third mark VIF from third variable is not enoughfrom 10.0 And mark Tolerance more from 0.1 Which It means thatmodel regression does not occur multiconlinearity.

c. Test Heteroscedasticity

Test heteroscedasticity done For test is in a regression model, there is an inequality of varianceresidual from one observation to another observation. Model regression Which Good is Which No happen heteroscedasticity. In this research, the glesjer test was carried out. If Test value If Gletjer is seen from a Sig value of more than 0.05 then no happen symptom heteroscedasticity, And If number Sig below 0.05 so happen symptoms of heteroscedasticity.

B    Std. Error    Beta      1    (Constant)    7,651    2,295    3,334    ,0      Leadership    Style    3,062    ,268    1,445    ,1      Communication    -,230    ,065    -,601    -3,542    ,0			C	Coefficients <sup>a</sup>			
1  (Constant)  7,651  2,295  3,334  ,0    Leadership  Style	Model					-	Sig.
Leadership    Style      Transformational    ,089    ,062    ,268    1,445    ,1      Communication    -,230    ,065    -,601    -3,542    ,0			В	Std. Error	Beta	_	
Transformational  ,089  ,062  ,268  1,445  ,1    Communication  -,230  ,065  -,601  -3,542  ,0	1	(Constant)	7,651	2,295		3,334	,002
		· · · ·		,062	,268	1,445	,154
Environment Work ,008 ,072 ,021 ,114 ,9		Communication	-,230	,065	-,601	-3,542	,001
		Environment Work	,008	,072	,021	,114	,910

# Table 10. Results Test Heteroscedasticity

a. Dependent Variables: Abscess Source : Data SPSS processing

Based on table 10, can explained test heteroscedasticity with method Glatjer obtained mark significant is greater than 0.05, so it can be concluded that No happen problem heteroscedasticity in test study This.

d. Analysis Inferential

1) Analysis Regression Linear Multiple

Analysis This used For know influence variables independent to variable dependent. In matter This model the For know how much big influence Style Leadership Transformational (X1), Communication (X2), And Environment Work (X3), on Employee Performance (Y). Results of multiple linear regression analysis can seen in the following table:

			coencients			
		Unstandardize	d Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	668	3.660		183	.086
	X1	.130	.107	.256	2.208	.033
	X2	.386	.108	.415	3.590	.001
	X3	.294	.117	.327	2.504	.015

# Table 11Results Analysis Regression Linear MultipleCoefficients<sup>a</sup>

a. Dependent Variable: Y

Source : Data Processed SPSS

Based on table 11 above, a linear regression equation is obtained multiple as follows:

K.K = 0.256(GKT) + 0.415 (K) + 0.327 (LK)

Information :

Y = Variable Employee performance (KK)

X1 = Transformational Leadership Style (GKT)X2 = Communication (K)

X3 = Environment Work (LK)

Equality regression the show that constant as big as 0.668 And can explained that Constant as big as 0.668, Which statethat if Transformational Leadership Style (X1), Communication (X2), Work Environment (X3) value is constant (does not change), then the score Performance Employee as big as 0.668 with standard error 3,660.

From the results of the multiple linear regression equation, respectively Independent variables can be interpreted as their influence on performance employee as follows:

- (1) The Transformational Leadership Style variable has a coefficient regression as big as 0.256 It means If Style Leadership experience an increase of one point, employee performance will experience enhancement as big as 0.256 or 25.6% with variable free other value constant. Whereas on sign number positive 0.256, It means if Style Leadership Transformational the more Good, so Performance Employee also increasingly increase.
- (2) Variable Communication have coefficient regression as big as 0.415 It meansif Communication increases by one point, then Performance Employee will experience enhancement as big as 0.415 or 41.5% with variable free other value constant. Whereas on signnumber positive 0.415, It means If Communication the more Good, so PerformanceEmployee also increasingly increase.
- (3) The Work Environment variable has a regression coefficient of 0.327 meaning that if the Work Environment increases by one point, so Performance Employee will experience enhancement as big as 0.327 or 32.7% with other independent variables constant values. Meanwhile on a positive number sign of 0.327, meaning that if the work environment is getting better, so Performance Employee also increasingly increase.
- e. Test Appropriateness Model

# 1) Test F

The F test is used to test the significance of the influence of variables independent that is Style Leadership, transformational, Communication And Environment Work to variable dependent that is Performance Employee through ANOVA test (Test F).

Table 12. Results Test F									
Sum of									
Model		Squares	Df	Mean So	uare F		Sig.		
1	Regression	911.690	3	303,897	3	1,719	,000 <sup>b</sup>		
Residual 507,783 53 9,581									
	Total 1419.474 56								
a. Dependent Variables: Performance Employee (Y)									
Predictors: (Constant), Environment Work (X3), Style Leadership									
Transfo	Transformational (X1), Communication (X2)								

Based on Results Test F on table 12 can is known Mark Fcount 31,719 (significant 0.000). The Ftable value is obtained from the degree of freedom value (df) Residual (remainder) that is 53 as df denominator And df Regression (per.akuan) which is 3 as the numerator df with a significance level of 5% so that Ftable ( $\alpha = 5\%$ ) is obtained, namely 2.78. Because the Fcount value > compared to Ftable (31.719 > 2.78) with a significant level of 0.000 < 0.05 then it can be concluded that Ho is rejected and Ha is accepted. So together variables Transformational Leadership Style (X1), Communication (X2), and Work Environment (X3) have a positive effect and significant to Employee Performance (Y) at PT. Adira Dynamics Multi Finance.

2) Test Coefficient Determination (R<sup>2</sup>)

Coefficient Determination (R  $^2$ ) is size For knowhow big is the relationship between variables and how big is the influence variable independent Which researched to the dependent variable.

# Results Test Coefficient Determination (R<sup>2</sup>) Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.801 <sup>a</sup>	.642	.622	3.095

a. Predictors: (Constant), X3, X2, X1

Source : Data Exercise from SPSS

On table 13 obtained mark coefficient determination (R2)as big as 0.622 Which It means level variable Performance Employee influenced by Style Leadership transformational, Communication And Environment Work as big as 62.2 % whereas the rest 37.8% influenced by factor other outside study.

f. Testing Hypothesis (Test t)

The t test, namely the partial regression coefficient, is used for find out

whether each variable is partially independent positive and significant effect on the dependent variable. Fortest influence variable independent to variable dependent used level significant 0.05 with criteria asfollowing:

- If t <sub>count</sub> > t <sub>table</sub> and sig < 0.05 then Ho is rejected and Ha accepted, that is Style Leadership transformational, Communication, And Environment Work influential positive Andsignificant to Performance Employee.
- If t <sub>count</sub> < t <sub>table</sub> and sig > 0.05 then Ho is accepted, meaning Style \_ Leadership transformational, And EnvironmentWork No influential positive And significant to performance employee.

	Table 14.Results t test						
	Model		lardized ficients	Standardized Coefficients		Sig.	
		В	Std. Error	Beta			
1	(Constant)	7,651	2,295		3,334	,002	
	Leadership Style	е					
	Transformational	,089	,062	,268	1,445	,154	
	Communication	-,230	,065	-,601	3,542	,001	
	Environment Work	,008	,072	,021	,114	,910	

a. Dependent Variables: Abscess

Source : Data Exercise from SPSS

Based on the basics of the results \_\_\_\_\_\_t on a t ab e l 14 d i a t a s, can t see that N i l a i \_\_\_\_\_\_t count v ariable G style \_\_DREAMING \_\_\_\_\_\_Tr an s for m a s i ona l S ebe s a r 1,445 with a n level of t significant \_\_\_\_\_\_0.154, whereas \_\_\_\_\_Mark \_\_\_\_t ta b e l df = nk- (.56-3-1=5252) d a n ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) so it 's not p e r b y number k a 2.00665. K ar e n a th i tung > t t ab e l (2.208 > 2.00665) so \_\_\_\_\_Ho\_\_\_ in t o lak and Ha\_\_accepted , \_\_\_\_\_\_It means \_\_\_\_\_Style \_\_\_\_Trans for m a s io n al lea der g ead ers have a p ositive inf luence and \_\_s i gn ifications about d p \_\_\_\_\_\_Tr an s for m a s ion a l (H1)\_\_\_\_\_\_The meaning of style \_\_\_\_\_\_t on the performance of employees .\_\_\_\_\_\_

- a. For \_t h i tung C o m u n i c a s i s ebe s a r 3 .5 4 2 with \_\_ fictitious signi fi cance level 0.00 1 , while \_\_\_\_\_ N il a it t ab e l df = nk- (56-3-1= 5 2) and (α = 0.05) s eh i ng a is obtained by the number k a 2.00665 K are t h count > t t ab e l (3 . 590 > 2,006 6 5) so \_\_ H o is rejected and H a is accepted , which means that \_ Communi cation has a positive influence on and on \_\_\_ significant \_\_\_ to \_\_\_ performance \_\_\_\_ employee \_\_\_\_ It means \_\_ Communication \_\_\_\_ (H1) has a positive and positive influence \_\_\_\_ significant \_\_\_\_
- b. For \_ t hi t ung L i n g c o n g an C o r k s ebe s ar 0.114 with level of significance 0.910, \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ whereas \_ \_ N i l ai t t a b e l df = nk- (56-3-1= 5 2) and ( $\alpha$  = 0.05) so that it is carried out by an g k a 2.00665 Because th i tung \_ > tta b e l (2504 > 2.00665) so \_ \_ H o was rejected and Ha \_ accepted, \_ \_ \_ the meaning is a ngan environment Work \_ \_ have a positive influence

\_\_\_\_ And \_\_ What is significant is the work performance of employees . \_ \_ \_ \_ Meaning of Environment \_ \_ \_ Work \_ \_ \_ ( H1 ) has a positive and significant influence \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ to \_ \_ performance \_ \_ employee . \_ \_ \_

## Discussion

## A. Influence Transformational Leadership Style to Performance Employee

Based on the research results above, it can be stated that, Style Leadership Transformational influential positive And significant on the performance of PT employees. Adira Dinamika Multi Finance. With The hypothesis test value is 2.208 with a significance level below 0.05 that is 0,000. Influence positive And significant This signifies that enhancement Style Leadership Transformational influence enhancement performance employee, Leader (in matter This) try increase performance his employees with method always give motivation, because when employees get motivation from their leaders, they will feel noticed, employee will feel like And with flavorlike That will make employee feel at home in the company, then employees will be enthusiastic about working, so that employee performance will improve the more good and maximum.

Leadership transformational (X 1) own influence positive And significant with the results of the regression analysis, which produces conclusions that hypothesis I accepted, that is leadership transformational positive influence, so if the leadership style is transformational applied in PT. Adira Dynamics Multi Fiancé with Good so performance employee his even will the more increases, and likewise on the contrary.

This is consistent with research conducted by Repie & Adnyani (2015) in journal management , with title "influence transformational leadership, communication and financial compensation on employee performance. The research results show a positive influence and significant relationship between transformational leadership style and performance employee, variable Which influential dominant is communication withsample taken 56 respondents.

## B. Influence Communication on Employee Performance

Based on results study Which has done use programSPSS states that Communication has a positive and significant effect on Employee Performance at PT Adira Dinamika Multi Finance.

Performance is a very important thing in an agency's efforts to achieve its goals. Research results (Prayogi, Lesmana, & Siregar, 2019); (Julita & Arianty, 2018); (Satriowati, Paramita, & Hasiholan, 2016); (Listyani, 2016) who found the influence of communication on performance.

This fact shows that if communication improves, employee performance will improve Also increase, matter This caused Because characteristic, method convey can make employee comfortable on Finally will make employee performance Also increase.

## C. The Influence of the Work Environment on Employee Performance

Based on results study Which has done use programSPSS state that Environment Work influential positive And significant on Performance PT employees. Adira Dinamika Multi Finance.

Based on the results of distributing questionnaires to PT. Adira Dinamika Multi Finance, Most of the respondents' opinions agree, which means the work environment influences employee performance.

The results of this research are in accordance with previous research according to (Adha et al. 2019) where the work environment has a positive and significant effect on employee performance

This fact shows that if the employee's work environment runs well, employee performance will increase, this is due to good room light/temperature, equipment and facilities, workplace environment, and a fulfilling atmosphere which in the end will make performance employees too increase.

# CONCLUSION

The results show that Transformational Leadership Style, Communication and Work Environment have a positive effect on employee performance at PT. Adira Dinamika Multi Finance. The first hypothesis regarding Transformational Leadership Style is accepted, showing that the better the Transformational Leadership Style, the higher the employee and organizational performance. Likewise, the second hypothesis regarding Communication and the third hypothesis regarding the Work Environment, both accepted, indicate that the better the Communication and the more conducive the Work Environment, the better employee performance will be. Therefore, it can be concluded that these factors have an important role in improving employee performance in this company.

# LIST REFERENCES

- Al- Kevin, Mohammed Rizky (2021). Influence Style Leadership Transformational And Compensation To Satisfaction Work WhichImpactful To Performance Employee On CV. Light Sir Palembang.University Tridinanti Palembang.
- Arza, Octriana, Julia Syafitri, and Delori Nancy Meyla. (2021). Influence Source Human Resources, Implementation of Government Accounting Standards and Systems Information Accountancy To Quality Report Finance OnGovernment City Padang Long. Pareso Journal 3.3 : 519-542.
- Basri, Edo Kurniawan, Serlin Serang, and Aryati Arfah. (2021). Style Influence Leadership transformational, Style Leadership Transactional And Culture Organization To Performance Employee On PT. Loud noise Partner Wajoln Macassar. *Science Journal Management Profitability* 5.2 (2021): 186-213
- Darmadi, D. (2020). The Influence of Work Environment and Work Discipline on Employee Performance at Indomaret Kelapa Dua Gading Serpong Branch Regency Tangerang. JIMF (Journal Scientific Management Forkamma), 3 (3).
- Fachrezi, H., & Khair, H. (2020). Influence Communication, Motivation And EnvironmentWork on Employee Performance at PT. Angkasa Pura II (Persero) Office Branch Kualanamu. *Maneggio: Journal Scientific Masters Management*, 3 (1), 107-119.
- Gani, A. A. (2020). Influence Style Leadership Transformational And Satisfaction Work To Performance Employee. *Celebes Equilibrum Journal*, 1 (2), 12-22.
- H. Abdul Hamid, S, Ag MMPd. Friday, October 9 (2020) Source Development Power Human (HR), *articles scientific*. Expert Widyaiswara Main IV/c
- Judge, Wise Rahman, Diamond Sembiring Julia, and Stie Nagoya Indonesia. (2020)Influence Compensation, Communication And Environment Work To Performance Employee PT. XYZ in City Batam. Source 840,770,000 : 750-000.
- Hartati, Y., Ratnasari, SL, & Susanti, EN (2020). Influence of Competency, Communication And Environment Work To Performance Employee PT. Indotirta Asylum. *Journal of Dimensions*, 9(2), 294-306.
- Jamil, Ahmad. (2021) Contribution of Leadership Style and Motivation to Employee Performance at the Personnel and Resource Development Agency Power Man City Baubau. *Journal of Effective Economics* 3.4: 436-444.

- Karismon, Relict. (2021) Influence Style Leadership TransformationalTo Performance of State Vocational School Teachers 2 Kerinci. Jambi University.
- Kurniawati, Endah. (2021). *Human Resource Management*. NEM Publishers. Rialmi, Zackharia, and Morsen Morsen. (2020) Influence Communication To
- Performance Employee PT Main Metal Eternal. *Genius (Journal Scientific Management Human Resources)* 3.2 : 221-227.
- Toharudin, m (2020, September). Communication In Learning In Era Covid-19 pandemic. In *(Webinar) 2020 National Education Seminar* (Vol.1, No. 1, pp. 238-248).
- Shinta, Dessy, and Mauli Siagian. (2020) Influence of Communication, Work Discipline, And Incentive To Performance Employee On PT Image Independent Distributindo. *Journal of Economic Appreciation* 8.2 : 338-346.
- M. Putri (2017) The Influence of the Work Environment and Individual Characteristics on Employee Performance at PT. Adira Dinamika Multi Finance, Tbk Branch Medan.
- Sihaloho, Ronal Donra, and Hotlin Siregar. (2020) Influence of the Work Environment On Employee Performance at Pt. Super Faithful Sagita Medan." *Journal Scientific Socio Secretum* 9.2 : 273-281.
- Prof. Dr, Lijan Poltak Sinambela. (2016) Management Source Power Man. *Published by* PT. Earth Script.
- Soedarsa, Harry Goenawan, and Chairul Anwar. (2014). Influence AuditingHuman Resource Management on Employee Performance (Study Case on PT. Scholastic Beautiful Media Bookie Lampung)." *Journal Accountancy and Finance* 5.1
- Nainggolan, L. E., Ancient, B., Sudarmanto, E., Nainggolan, P., Hasibuan, A., Simarmata, H. M. P., & Damanik, D. (2021). *Resource Economics Man*. Foundation We Write.
- Pramesti, Putu Chintia, Agus Wahyudi Salasa Gama, and Ni Putu Yeni Astiti. (2021) Influence Style Leadership transformational, Culture Organization and Work Motivation on Employee Performance in the Service Education Bangli Regency. *VALUES* 2.2 : 457-465.
- Simangunsong, NC, Lie, D., & Butarbutar, M. (2014). Effects of Job Stress To Performance Employee On PT . Taspen (Persero) Branch Pematangsiantar. *SULTANIST: Journal of Management and Finance*, 2 (2), 52-58.
- Yanthy, E., Purwanto, A., Pramono, R., Cahyono, Y., & Asbari, m (2020). Influence Style Leadership Transformational And Transactional Against Performance System Guarantee Halal HAS 23000. BUSINESS: JournalBusiness and Islamic Management, 8 (1), 131-153.
- Mufidah, A. L. (2020). Influence Training, Satisfaction Work And Environment WorkOn the Performance of PT Employees. Agrofarm Nusa RayaPonorogo (Doctoral dissertation, IAIN Ponorogo).
- Lutfi Setiawan, ADANG (2019). The Influence of Work Relations and Communication On Employee Performance. (A study of employees of PT. Trimitz Synergy Mandala Garut) (Doctoral dissertation, University Siliwangi).
- Laksmi, AT, & Rahardjo, M. (2014). Analysis of the Influence of Leadership Style Transformational and Work Motivation on Employee Performance. *(Studies Empirical at BAPPEDA Central Java Province)* (Doctoral dissertation, Faculty Economics And Business).
- Putra, IDMAP, & Sriathi, AAA Transformational Leadership Style, Motivation Work, And Discipline Work as Predictor Performance Employee (Doctoral dissertation, Udayana University).

# Copyright holder:

Afaf Nahlati Shovi, Ahmad Cik, Adam Nurkholik, Kumba Digdowiseiso (2023)

# First publication rights: Syntax Admiration Journal

This article is licensed under:

